

3rd November 1931]

Land Revenue Administration

•• *Proposal for the abolition of certain revenue and taluk divisions in Salem district.*

* 550 Q.—Mr. K. A. NACHIYAPPA GOUNDAR:—" Will the hon. the Member for Revenue be pleased to state—

(a) whether there are any proposals for the abolition of some revenue divisions and taluks in the Salem district owing to retrenchment; and

(b) if so, what they are?

A.—(a) Yes.

(b) The proposals are—

- (1) to convert the Rasipur taluk into a sub-taluk attached to Namakkal taluk;
- (2) to place the Mettur taluk in charge of an Independent Deputy Tahsildar; and
- (3) to abolish the Denkanikotta sub-taluk.

Mr. K. A. NACHIYAPPA GOUNDAR:—" May I know whether there is any proposal to abolish the Sankari division? "

The hon. Mr. A. Y. G. CAMPBELL:—" I do not think there is."

Mr. BASHEER AHMED SAYEED:—" What will be the savings effected by these proposals? "

The hon. Mr. A. Y. G. CAMPBELL:—" I must ask for notice of the question."

Mr. BASHEER AHMED SAYEED:—" May I ask whether these proposals are carried out with a view to effect retrenchment only or for administrative reasons? "

The hon. Mr. A. Y. G. CAMPBELL:—" Retrenchment is the primary cause."

Mr. BASHEER AHMED SAYEED:—" What is the secondary cause? "

The hon. Mr. A. Y. G. CAMPBELL:—" We have got to save money where we can. We are able to do so in this particular district."

Pay and prospects of the Copyist Establishment.

* 551 Q.—Khan Bahadur MAHMUD SCHAMNAD SAHIB Bahadur: With reference to the answer given to my questions No. 449, dated 27th February 1931 and No. 645, dated the 18th March 1931, and the statement laid on the table of the House on the 4th August 1931, will the hon. the Member for Revenue be pleased to state—

(a) whether there was any year in which the expenditure incurred on account of the copyists and copyist examiners was not covered by the receipts;